

# **Evaluating the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Medical Physics: A Review**

Chiago, Chisom Amarachi<sup>\*1</sup>; Ndubuisi, Miracle Chimamanda<sup>2</sup>; Uko, Martha Chidiebere<sup>3</sup>; Etuk, Mfreke Ime $<sup>4</sup>$ </sup>

Received: June 19, 2024 /Accepted: August 31, 2024

© REJOST 2024

#### **Abstract**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has proven to be a source of innovation in all aspects of technological, scientific, and medical advancement, Medical Physics is not excluded, driving advancements in medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes. . This study reviews the impact of AI on medical physics, with an analysis of recent developments and their clinical implications. A thorough search was conducted across multiple databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, ResearchGate, and IEEE Xplore. The search was conducted using keywords related to AI and medical physics, including "artificial intelligence," "machine learning," "deep learning," "medical imaging," "treatment planning," "diagnostics," and "patient outcomes". The search focused on studies published from 2016 to 2024 that examine the implementation and effectiveness of AI technologies. This review highlights AI's ability to transform medical physics, especially in the aspects of medical imaging, diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient outcomes, emphasizing the need for continued research and integration of AI technologies in clinical practice. The study suggests future research in the area of data privacy concerns and standardized protocols, to fully harness AI's benefits in medical physics.

✉ **Chiago, Chisom Amarachi:** [chisomchiago@gmail.com](mailto:chisomchiago@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup> Great Marvelous Lodge, Awka, Anambra state, Nigeria

 $2$ Zappa mixed Secondary School, Asaba, Delta state, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup> 29A Isiokwe Road, Onitsha, Anambra state, Nigeria

 $4$ Nigeria Army College of Education, Ilorin, Kwara state, Nigeria



**Keywords**: Medical physics, Artificial intelligence, Imaging, Diagnosis

### **1.0 Introduction**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is at the forefront of technological advancements across numerous fields, with healthcare being one of the most significantly impacted areas (Xing *et al*., 2021). AI can be described as the development and utilization of programs, algorithms, and systems that can carry out tasks that require human reasoning, intelligence, and problem-solving skills. Within healthcare, AI technologies are bringing about innovations that enhance the quality of diagnosis, treatment planning, patient care, and operational efficiency. According to Liu *et al*. (2023), the impact of AI in medical physics is enormous and capable of transforming the ways tasks are done in clinics.

Medical physics involves the practical application of physics principles and concepts in medicine, especially in the aspects of medical imaging, radiation therapy, and nuclear medicine. The integration of AI into these domains has opened new avenues for enhancing the precision, speed, and effectiveness of medical interventions. Zanca *et al*. (2021) highlighted the establishment of a working group by the 'European Federation of Organization for Medical Physics (EFOMP)' to integrate AI into the medical physicist curriculum. This initiative shows the growing importance of AI in the professional development of medical physicists, aiming to enhance their skills and knowledge in applying AI technologies effectively in clinical settings.Dong & Xing, (2020) and Fan, *et al*. (2020) showed that 'AI streamlines the workflow of radiation therapy planning, and automates dose calculations, considerably reducing the time needed to generate treatment plans'. Figure 1 illustrates the hierarchical relationship between AI, Machine Learning (ML), Deep Learning (DL), and neural networks as a key component of DL. This framework demonstrates how AI-driven ML algorithms and DL techniques can be employed to enhance diagnostic imaging and optimize treatment planning, by leveraging advanced data analysis and pattern recognition capabilities.



**Figure 1**: Components of Artificial Intelligence

This systematic review aims to synthesize current knowledge and provide insights into the future trajectory of AI in medical physics. The findings will inform practitioners, researchers, and policymakers about the advancements and potential areas for further exploration. By understanding the impact of AI on medical physics, stakeholders can better harness its capabilities to improve healthcare outcomes and enhance the quality of patient care. The review evaluates the impact of AI on medical physics, focusing on four key areas: medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes.

### **2.0 AI in Medical Physics**

### **2.1 Medical Imaging**

AI algorithms have rapidly changed and improved medical imaging by improving the quality and accuracy of image interpretation, diagnostic accuracy, efficiency, and patient care. The advent of AI through the development of deep learning algorithms has opened new avenues for improving accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility. Esteva *et al*. (2017) in their work



showed that when compared to the ability of experienced dermatologists to classify skin cancer, AI performed better with more accuracy and precision. This early and accurate detection drastically improves patient outcomes by enabling timely treatment. Similarly, Litjens *et al*. (2017) emphasized advancements in segmentation, detection, and classification tasks through deep learning, enhancing the precision and efficiency of image analysis, which is a crucial step in a medical procedure. McKinney *et al.* (2020) showcased AI's potential in breast cancer screening, where AI systems outperformed radiologists in identifying cancer in mammograms, thus improving early detection rates. Montero *et al*. (2021) and Diaz *et al*. (2021) further reviewed AI in medical imaging, with a focus on machine learning applications and the importance of image preparation, data curation, and annotation. These studies further

show the potential ability of AI to streamline workflows, reducing the time needed for image analysis and enabling faster diagnosis and treatment planning. Funama et al. (2021) demonstrated that conditional generative adversarial networks (cGANs) could generate high-quality computer tomography (CT) images, improving diagnostic accuracy and reducing radiation doses. According to Zhou et al. (2022), advancements in AI-driven radiotherapy planning, especially in integrating imaging data and optimizing radiation doses, have enhanced the precision of tumor targeting and treatment. Montalt-Tordera *et al*. (2021) and Zegers *et al*. (2021) also highlighted the benefits of machine learning in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), improving image quality, reducing scan times, and enabling precise segmentation and classification.



**Figure 2**: AI methodology in diagnostic and treatment process

#### **2.2 Treatment Planning**

AI has revolutionized treatment planning in radiotherapy by enhancing precision and personalization in patient care. Traditionally, treatment planning involves complex calculations to determine the optimal dose distribution that targets tumors while minimizing exposure to healthy tissues. However, these methods often rely on manual inputs and standard protocols that

may not fully account for individual patient variability. Figure 2 outlines how AI processes medical outputs to develop personalized treatment plans. This involves continuous assessments, evaluation, and adjustments to ensure effective treatment strategies follow-up. Nguyen *et al*. (2019) highlighted how AI-driven systems can improve dosimetry by analyzing patient data, including anatomical, physiological, and treatment history, to create more accurate



treatment plans. This improves radiation delivery accuracy, enhances treatment efficacy, and reduces side effects. Similarly, Boldrini *et al*. (2020) reviewed the application of AI in proton therapy, demonstrating improvements in dose distribution and treatment time. In their review, Boldrini et al. (2020) demonstrated how AI could enhance the precision of proton therapy by optimizing treatment parameters such as beam angles, energy levels, and dose constraints. Additionally, AI's ability to process data rapidly allows for real-time adjustments to treatment plans, maintaining effectiveness despite changes in tumor size or patient anatomy. This capability is crucial in adaptive radiotherapy, where plans are continuously updated. Moreover, AI streamlines the planning process by automating tasks like organ contouring and dose calculations, significantly reducing planning time and allowing clinicians to focus on complex decisionmaking aspects of treatment.

#### **2.3 Diagnosis**

Diagnosis is a critical component of healthcare, serving as the foundation for treatment decisions and patient management. AI is rapidly becoming a critical tool in diagnostics within medical physics, offering substantial potential for early detection of disease, precise diagnosis, and patient care. By harnessing sophisticated machine learning algorithms, AI can process very large amounts of data to uncover correlations that may escape human detection. Figure 2 demonstrates how AI analyzes and segments medical data to produce diagnostic results. These results are then reviewed and refined to support precise decisionmaking for accurate diagnosis. A key advantage of AI in diagnostics is that it is able to enhance image interpretation and provide more consistent, accurate, and rapid insights. Rajpurkar *et al*. (2018) introduced CheXNet, a deep-learning algorithm capable of detecting pneumonia from chest X-rays with greater accuracy than practicing radiologists. The study demonstrated that CheXNet could achieve a diagnostic accuracy that surpassed that of practicing radiologists, highlighting AI's potential to enhance clinical decision-making. Topol (2019) provided an extensive overview of AI's role in diagnostics across various fields such as cardiology, radiology, and pathology. The study highlighted how AI could process large volumes of data leading to faster and more accurate diagnoses. In cardiology, for example, AI has been used to analyze electrocardiograms (ECGs) and echocardiograms, detecting abnormalities that may not have been seen by humans.

#### **2.4 Patient Outcomes**

Patient outcomes are one of the most significant areas of focus in the integration of technology into healthcare. Patient outcomes refer to the results of healthcare practices, including recovery, quality of life, and survival rates. Studies like those by Jha and Topol (2016) have shown that AI can significantly improve patient outcomes by enabling personalized medicine, improving treatment protocols, and facilitating early disease detection. According to Topol (2019), AI can identify specific mutations in a patient's gene that may influence how they respond to certain medications. This allows clinicians to select treatments that are more effective for that particular patient, thereby eradicating the trial-and-error approach that often characterizes traditional treatment methods.

Additionally, AI can keep track of how patients respond to treatment, adjusting the therapy as needed to ensure the best possible outcome. This dynamic and responsive approach to patient care is crucial to improving recovery rates and overall survivorship outcomes. Obermeyer and Emanuel (2016) discussed the ethical implications involved in the integration of AI into healthcare.



The study emphasized the need for equitable AI deployment across different demographics to ensure patients benefit from AI advancements.

While previous studies have extensively explored the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on specific aspects of medical physics, such as imaging, radiation therapy, treatment planning, or diagnosis, there remains a significant gap in the literature about integrating AI's influence across these domains. Moreover, the collective impact of these advancements on patient outcomes has not been thoroughly examined. This review aims to fill this gap by providing an analysis of AI's transformative role in each of these critical areas, (imaging, treatment planning, and diagnosis) and by highlighting how these innovations converge to enhance patient outcomes. By offering a holistic perspective, this paper contributes to a deeper understanding of AI's potential to improve medical physics and improve overall healthcare delivery.

### **3.0 Methods**

### **3.1 Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

A comprehensive search was employed to identify studies that are relevant in examining the impact of AI on medical physics. The following databases were searched: PubMed, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ResearchGates, Scopus, and Google Scholar. The search was conducted using keywords related to AI and medical physics, including "artificial intelligence," "machine learning," "deep learning," "medical imaging," "treatment planning," "diagnostics," and "patient outcomes." The search was limited to studies published between 2016 and 2024 to ensure that the most recent advancements and research were captured.

After the search, 1,150 articles were obtained. With the removal of duplicate articles, 867studies remained. These studies were then screened to extract ones relevant to the review based on their abstracts and keywords. Studies that were not directly related to AI in medical physics or did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded. This screening process resulted in 30 articles for full-text review as shown in Table 1.

### **3.2 Inclusion criteria**

- Papers published between 2010 and 2024.
- Peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and review articles.
- Studies focusing on the application of AI in medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes.
- Articles available in English.

## **3.3 Exclusion criteria**

- Non-peer-reviewed articles and gray literature.
- Studies with insufficient data or unclear methodology.
- Articles not directly related to medical physics or AI.

### **3.4 Data Extraction**

Data extraction was done by two reviewers to ensure accuracy and consistency. Relevant information collected from each study includes the authors, publication year, study method, study aim and purpose, and key findings.

The data were synthesized using a narrative approach, focusing on the impact of AI on medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes. The synthesis process involved categorizing the studies based on their application areas and summarizing the key findings and implications of each study.

## **4.0 Results of Review**

### **4.1 Overview of Included Studies**

30 studies were included in the final review. These studies were based on four main areas: medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes, and are shown



in Table 1. The included studies were published between 2016 and 2024, with a significant increase in publications in recent years, reflecting

the growing interest and advancements in AI applications in medical physics.

















j.















### **5.0 Discussion**

The finding of this review shows that AI can improve various domains of medical physics. AI has demonstrated advancements, in the area of medical imaging, where it has vastly improved image quality and diagnostic accuracy (Zhou *et al*., 2021). 'Studies have shown that AI can better predict radiation-induced toxicity than traditional methods, aiding clinical decision-making' (Huang *et al*., 2021; Huang *et al*., 2022). The integration of AI in radiation therapy shows progress in optimizing treatment planning and delivery.

Beyond the technical improvements, the ethical implications of AI integration into healthcare cannot be overlooked and must be considered. Obermeyer and Emanuel (2016) and Morley *et al*. (2020) provide a comprehensive overview of these ethical considerations. These considerations are crucial for developing governance frameworks that can guide the successful integration of AI in medical physics (Reddy *et al*., 2019). The use of AI to personalize treatment offers another layer of precision in patient care. Shickel *et al*. (2018) highlight how deep learning techniques are revolutionizing the analysis of electronic health records, enabling more tailored and effective treatment plans. Overall, while AI offers immense potential to revolutionize medical physics, future research and clinical applications must consider these broader implications to ensure that advancements in technology translate into equitable and ethical improvements in patient care.

## **5.1 Impact of AI on Medical Imaging**

AI has significantly enhanced the quality and accuracy of medical imaging. Recent studies have shown that AI algorithms are significantly superior to human experts to a large extent in image interpretation.

- **Skin Cancer Classification**: Esteva *et al*. (2017) showed that "a deep-learning algorithm could classify skin cancer with accuracy comparable to that of dermatologists". The algorithm analyzed dermoscopic images and achieved high sensitivity and specificity, highlighting AI's potential in dermatology.
- **Breast Cancer Screening**: AI systems can improve the accuracy of breast cancer screening programs. According to McKinney *et al*. (2020), 'AI systems outperformed radiologists in identifying breast cancer in mammograms'. Ricciardi *et al*. (2021) in their work showed the use of deep learning classifiers in digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT). They highlighted that AI could enhance diagnostic accuracy in breast cancer screening and reduce radiologist workload. The work done by Tomal and Massera (2021) presented a deep learning framework to assess 'breast glandularity and mean glandular dose using virtual patients'. Their study reveals that the deep learning approach can accurately predict glandular tissue distribution and dose, offering a promising tool for personalized breast cancer screening and radiation dose optimization.
- **Medical Image Analysis**: The review by Litjens *et al*. (2017) on the use of 'deep learning in medical imaging analyses found that DL techniques improved the accuracy and efficiency of image analysis. Additionally, according to Nemirovsky-Rotman& Bercovich. (2024), 'integrating physics-based priors into deep learning models significantly improves the models' stability and generalization in medical imaging,

making them more reliable for critical applications'.

- **CT and Applications**: Buls *et al*. (2021) assessed an FDA (Food and Drug Administration)-approved AI tool for diagnosing 'intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) and pulmonary embolism (PE)' with high accuracy, demonstrating AI's potential in enhancing diagnostic capabilities in CT imaging. Furthermore, Zhang *et al*. (2024) in their work introduced a three-dimensional (3D) neural network that integrates 'low-dose CT' images to enhance the quality of pediatric CT images from ultrashort scans. This approach improved image quality by effectively fusing anatomical and functional information.
- **MRI Applications**: Montalt-Tordera *et al*. (2021) reviewed AI applications in MRI reconstruction, highlighting machine learning approaches that enhance image quality and speed up the inherently slow MRI acquisition process. Zegers *et al*. (2021) discussed AI's potential in neurooncology, emphasizing applications in synthetic CT generation, autosegmentation, and outcome prediction.

## **5.2. Impact of AI on Treatment Planning**

AI has introduced more personalized and precise approaches to treatment planning, especially in radiation therapy. AI-driven systems optimize treatment plans by analyzing patient data and predicting responses to different treatment options.

 **Radiotherapy Treatment Planning**: Nguyen et al. (2019) emphasized that AIdriven systems could improve dosimetry in radiotherapy, enhancing the precision and effectiveness of radiation delivery.

The study highlighted improvements in dose distribution and reduced treatment times. Also, Jones et al. (2024) highlighted the critical role of AI in advancing personalized and high-quality treatment planning in radiation therapy.

- **Proton Therapy Planning**: Boldrini et al. (2020) reviewed AI applications in optimizing treatment plans for proton therapy. The study demonstrated that AI could enhance dose distribution and reduce treatment times, improving the overall efficacy of proton therapy.
- **Dose Prediction for Breast Cancer**: Hedden *et al*. (2021) investigated deep learning applications in predicting optimal dose distribution for breast cancer radiotherapy, using modified U-net architectures to generate reference dose maps for automatic plan generation. Gullo *et al*. (2024) provided an overview of ML and DL applications in MRI for breast cancer treatment. They believed that AIenhanced MRI improved the treatment process.
- **Quality Assurance**: Nousiainen *et al.*  (2021) focused on automating quality control (QC) in chest radiograph imaging. They developed and validated an automated QC tool that significantly improves the efficiency and consistency of image quality assessments. The tool demonstrated strong agreement with manual quality control methods, suggesting that it could enhance clinical workflows by reducing the time and variability associated with manual image quality checks.

## **5.3. Impact of AI on Diagnostics**

Studies have visibly shown that AI can enhance diagnostic accuracy and predictive analytics. AI-



driven diagnostic tools can process large amounts of data to identify items that may be missed by human observers.

- **Pneumonia Detection**: Rajpurkar *et al*. (2018), in their work, introduced CheXNet, an algorithm capable of detecting pneumonia from chest X-rays with greater accuracy than practicing radiologists. The study showed that AI can enhance accuracy in diagnosis and improve treatment outcomes.
- **AI in Diagnostics**: Topol (2019) discussed AI's applications in cardiology, radiology, and pathology, emphasizing that the use of AI leads to faster and more accurate diagnoses, improving patient outcomes. Additionally, Avanzo *et al*. (2021) highlight that AI-driven methodologies are predominantly utilized for diagnostic imaging tasks, particularly in the areas of image classification and segmentation.
- **MRI for Meniscal Tears**: Ritz *et al*. (2021) evaluated AI models used for detecting and characterizing meniscal tears in knee MRI, achieving high performance and demonstrating AI's potential in enhancing diagnostic accuracy in musculoskeletal imaging. Additionally, Men *et al*. (2017) and Liu *et al*. (2021) in their respective works found that AI algorithms are capable of improving the accuracy of segmentation and auto-contouring in radiotherapy, thereby improving diagnostic processes.

## **5.4. Impact of AI on Patient Outcomes**

AI's impact on patient outcomes is profound, with studies showing significant improvements in healthcare delivery and efficiency.

- **Personalized Medicine**: AI provides the appropriate base for a more personalized medicine. Jha and Topol (2016) highlighted AI's role in enabling personalized medicine, optimizing treatment protocols, and facilitating early disease detection. The study emphasized AI's ability to transform healthcare delivery and enhance patient care.
- **Ethical Considerations**: Obermeyer and Emanuel (2016) discussed the ethical implications involved in the integration of AI into healthcare. The study emphasized the need for equitable AI deployment across different demographics to ensure that all patients benefit from AI advancements.
- Papadimitroulas et al. (2021) stated that AI can be used to extract image biomarkers from medical images (radiomics), thus improving patient outcomes. Ma et al. (2019) believed 'that AI facilitates the discovery of new biomarkers by analyzing large datasets, advancing radiomics in cancer treatment'.

### **6.0 Strengths and Limitations of the Review**

## **6.1 Strengths**

- The review provides a comprehensive insight into the impact of AI on medical physics, covering key areas such as medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes.
- A systematic approach was used to identify and analyze relevant studies, ensuring the inclusion of high-quality and relevant literature.
- The review highlights both the benefits as well as challenges associated with AI in medical physics, providing a balanced perspective.



## **6.2 Limitations**

- The review is limited to studies published between 2016 and 2024, which may exclude some relevant earlier studies.
- Only peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and review articles were included, potentially excluding relevant grey literature.
- The review utilized available studies, whose findings may be subject to publication bias.

## **7.0 Implications for Clinical Practice and Future Research**

The findings of this review have important implications for practice and future research.

- **Clinical Practice**: The integration of AI into medical physics can enhance diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and patient outcomes. Healthcare practitioners should consider incorporating AI-driven tools and systems into their practice to improve patient care. However, it is essential to address challenges involving data privacy and the implementation of standardized protocols to ensure the ethical and effective use of AI technologies.
- **Future Research**: There is a need for further research to assess the limitations associated with AI in medical physics. Future studies should focus on developing standardized protocols, to ensure data privacy. Additionally, research should explore the ethical implications of AI deployment and its impact on different demographic groups to ensure equitable access to AI-driven healthcare advancements.

## **8.0 Recommendations**

1. **Develop and Enforce Standardized Protocols for AI Implementation**:

Governments and regulatory bodies should prioritize the development and enforcement of standardized protocols for the implementation of AI in medical physics. These protocols should cover data privacy, ethical considerations, and safety standards in the use of AI in healthcare.

- 2. **Invest in AI Education and Training Programs for Medical Physicists**: Medical physicists need specialized education and training. Governments, academic institutions, and professional organizations should collaborate to create comprehensive AI curricula and certification programs that equip medical physicists with the necessary skills to integrate AI into clinical practice.
- 3. **Promote Research and Development in AI Applications**: Stakeholders, including governments, should increase funding and support for research and development in AI applications within medical physics. This includes encouraging cross-disciplinary collaborations between AI researchers, medical physicists, and clinicians to drive innovation and address existing challenges such as algorithm bias and data scarcity.
- 4. **Facilitate Access to Large, Diverse Medical Datasets**: A major challenge in AI research is the lack of large, diverse datasets. Governments and healthcare organizations should create and maintain repositories of deidentified patient data that are accessible to researchers. These datasets should be standardized to ensure they are suitable for training AI models, thus enhancing the generalizability and robustness of AI applications.
- 5. **Ensure Ethical and Equitable AI Deployment**: AI deployment in healthcare must be ethical and equitable. Governments, regulatory bodies, and healthcare



organizations should establish frameworks that ensure AI tools are designed and implemented in ways that do not exacerbate existing health disparities. This includes conducting thorough evaluations of AI systems to prevent bias and ensure that AI benefits all patient populations equally.

- 6. **Establish Continuous Quality Assurance and Monitoring Systems**: AI systems in medical physics require continuous monitoring and quality assurance to maintain their efficacy and safety. Stakeholders should implement robust quality assurance programs that regularly assess AI tools' performance, especially as they evolve with self-learning capabilities. This will help mitigate risks associated with AI errors and ensure ongoing compliance with regulatory standards.
- 7. **Encourage Public-Private Partnerships for AI Innovation**: Governments should encourage public-private partnerships to enhance the development and deployment of AI in medical physics. By fostering collaborations between government agencies, academic institutions, healthcare providers, and private industry, stakeholders can pool resources and expertise to overcome technical and financial barriers.
- 8. When implementing AI-based solutions in healthcare, medical physicists must prioritize rigorous validation of these tools to ensure their reliability and effectiveness. This involves comprehensive testing against established standards and real-world clinical scenarios to confirm that AI tools perform accurately under diverse conditions as stated by Bosmans *et al*. (2021) and Beckers *et al.* (2021).

### **9.0 Conclusion**

This review highlights the transformative potential of AI in medical physics, demonstrating significant advancements in medical imaging, treatment planning, diagnostics, and patient outcomes. AI algorithms have shown remarkable accuracy and efficiency in image interpretation, treatment optimization, and diagnostic processes, leading to improved healthcare delivery and patient care. However, there are challenges such as data privacy concerns and implementation of standardized protocols which have to be addressed to fully harness the benefits of AI in this field. Continued research is important to further explore AI's capabilities and ensure its ethical and equitable deployment in medical physics.

### **Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure**

The authors declare that there were no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## **Acknowledgments**

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Dr. T.O. Daniel and Mr. P.I. Ebizie for their invaluable guidance and support throughout the preparation of this manuscript. Their constant reviews and direction greatly contributed to the development and refinement of this paper.

## **References**

Avanzo, M., Porzio, M., Lorenzon, L., Milan, L., Sghedoni, R., Russo, G., Massafra, R., Fanizzi, A., Barucci, A., Ardu, V., Branchini, M., Giannelli, M., Gallio, E., Cilla, S., Tangaro, S., Lombardi, A., Pirrone, G., De Martin, E., Giuliano, A., Belmonte, G., Russo, S., Rampado, O., Mettivier, G. (2021). Artificial intelligence applications in medical

imaging: A review of the medical physics research in Italy. *Physica Medica*, 83, 221-241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.-ejmp.2021.04.010) [ejmp.2021.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.-ejmp.2021.04.010)

- Beckers, R., Kwade, Z., Zanca, F. (2021). The EU medical device regulation: Implications for artificial intelligencebased medical device software in medical physics. *Physica Medica*, 83, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.011>
- Boldrini, L., Bibault, J. E., Masciocchi, C., Shen, Y., & Bittner, M. I. (2020). Deep learning: A review for the radiation oncologist. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 9, 977.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00977>
- Bosmans, H., Zanca, F., Gelaude, F. (2021). Procurement, commissioning, and QA of AI-based solutions: An MPE's perspective on introducing AI in clinical practice. *Physica Medica*, 83, 257-263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.04.006>
- Buls, N., Watte, N., Nieboer, K., Ilsen, B. & de Mey, J. (2021). Performance of an artificial intelligence tool with real-time clinical workflow integration – detection of intracranial hemorrhage and pulmonary embolism. *Physics in Medicine*; 83:154– 60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.-ejmp.2021.03.015) [ejmp.2021.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.-ejmp.2021.03.015)
- Diaz, O., Kushibar, K., Osuala, R., Linardos, A., Garrucho, L., Igual, L., Radeva, P., Prior, F., Gkontra, R. &Lekadir, K. (2021). Data preparation for artificial intelligence in medical imaging: a comprehensive guide to open-access platforms and tools. *Physica Medica*, 83, 25-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.007>
- Dong, P. & Xing, L. (2020). Deep DoseNet: a deep neural network for accurate dosimetric transformation between different spatial resolutions and/or different dose calculation algorithms for precision radiation therapy. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 65(3):035010. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/ab652d>
- Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., Ko, J., Swetter, S. M., Blau, H. M.& Thrun, S. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*, 542(7639), 115-118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056>
- Fan, J., Xing, L., Dong, P., Wang, J., Hu, W. & Yang, Y. (2020). Data-driven dose calculation algorithm based on deep U-Net. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 65(24):245035. [doi:10.1088/1361-6560/](https://doi.org/10.1088/13616560/abca05) [abca05](https://doi.org/10.1088/13616560/abca05)
- Funama, Y., Oda, S., Kidoh, M., Nagayama, Y., Goto, M., Sakabe, D.&Nakaura, T. (2021). Conditional generative adversarial networks to generate pseudo low monoenergetic CT image from a singletube voltage CT scanner. *Physica Medica*, 83, 46-51. Doi: [10.1016/j.ejmp.-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.015) [2021.02.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.015)
- Gullo, R.O., Marcus, E., Huayanay, J., Eskreis-Winkler, S., Thakur, S., Teuwen, J. & Pinker, K. (2024). Artificial Intelligence-Enhanced Breast MRI Applications in Breast Cancer Primary Treatment Response Assessment and Prediction. *Investigative Radiology,* 59(3), 230-242. DOI: [10.1097/RLI.0000000000001010](https://10.0.4.73/RLI.0000000000001010)
- Hedden, N. & Xu, H. (2021). Radiation therapy dose prediction for left-sided breast



cancers using two-dimensional and threedimensional deep learning models. *Physica Medica*, 83, 101-107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.021>

- Huang, C., Nomura, Y., Yang, Y.& Xing, L. (2022). Meta-optimization for fully automated radiation therapy treatment planning. *Physics in Medicine & Biology,* 67(5) 055011. Doi[:10.1088/1361-](https://doi/10.1088/1361-6560/ac5672) [6560/ac5672](https://doi/10.1088/1361-6560/ac5672)
- Huang, C., Yang, Y. & Xing, L. (2021). Fully automated noncoplanar radiation therapy treatment planning. *Medical Physics*, 48(11):7439–7449. Doi[:10.1002/mp.15223](https://doi.org/0.1002/mp.15223).
- Jha, S.& Topol, E. J. (2016). Adapting to artificial intelligence: Radiologists and pathologists as information specialists. *JAMA*, 316(22), 2353-2354. [https://](https://-doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17438) [doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17438](https://-doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17438)
- Jones, S., Thompson, K., Porter, B., Shepherd, M., Sapkaroski, D., Grimshaw, A. & Hargrave, C. (2024). Automation and artificial intelligence in radiation therapy treatment planning. *Journal of Medical Radiation Sciences,* 71, 290-298. Doi: [10.1002/jmrs.729](https://10.0.3.234/jmrs.729)
- Liu, J., Xiao, H., Fan, J., Hu, W., Yang, Y., Dong, P., Xing, L.& Cai, J. (2023). An overview of artificial intelligence in medical physics and radiation oncology. *Journal of the National Cancer Center,*  3(3), 211-221. [https://doi.org/10.1016-](https://doi.org/10.1016-/j.jncc.2023.08.002) [/j.jncc.2023.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016-/j.jncc.2023.08.002)
- Liu, Z., Liu, F., Chen, W., Tao, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, F., Shen, J., Guan, H., Zhen, H., Wang, S., Chen, Q., Chen, Y.& Hou, X. (2021). Automatic segmentation of

clinical target volume and organs-at-risk for breast conservative radiotherapy using Convolutional Neural Network. *Cancer Management and Research,* 2021:13, 8209-8217

- Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A. A. A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., Van Ginneken, B., Van der Laak, J. A. W. M.& Sanchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. *Medical Image Analysis*, 42, 60-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005>
- Ma, M., Kovalchuk, N., Buyyounouski, MK., Xing, L.& Yang, Y. (2019). Incorporating dosimetric features into the prediction of 3D VMAT dose distributions using deep convolutional neural network. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 64(12):125017. Doi: [10.1088/1361-6560/ab2146](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/ab2146)
- McCarthy, N., Dahlan, A., Cook, T. S., Hare, N. O., Ryan, M. L., St. John, B., Lawlor, A. & Curran, K. M. (2021). Enterprise imaging and big data: A review from a medical physics perspective. *Physica Medica*, 83. Doi: [10.1016/j.ejmp.-](https://10.0.3.248/j.ejmp.2021.04.004) [2021.04.004](https://10.0.3.248/j.ejmp.2021.04.004)
- McKinney, S. M., Sieniek, M., Godbole, V., Godwin, J., Antropova, N., Ashrafian, H., Back, T., Chesus, M., Corrado, G. S., Darzi, A., Etemadi, M., Garcia-Vicente, F., Gilbert, F. J., Halling-Brown, M., Hassabis, D., Jansen, S., Karthikesalingam, A., Kelly, C. J., King, D., Ledsam, J. R., Melnick, D., Mostofi, H., Peng, L., Reicher, J. J., Romera-Paredes, B., Sidebottom, R., Suleyman, M., Tse, D., Young, K. C., De Fauw, J. & Shetty, S. (2020). International evaluation of an AI system for breast cancer

screening. *Nature*, 577(7788), 89-94. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1799-6>

- Men, K., Dai, J. & Li, Y. (2017). Automatic segmentation of the clinical target volume and organs at risk in the planning CT for rectal cancer using deep dilated convolutional neural networks. *Medical Physics*, 44(12):6377–6389. [https://doi.](https://doi.-org/10.1002/mp.12602) [org/10.1002/mp.12602](https://doi.-org/10.1002/mp.12602)
- Men, K., Chen, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, T., Dai, J., Yi, J.& Li, Y. (2017). Deep Deconvolutional Neural Network for Target Segmentation of Nasopharyngeal Cancer in Planning Computed Tomography Images. *Front Oncology,*  7:315. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.-](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.-2017.00315) [2017.00315](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.-2017.00315)
- Montalt-Tordera, J., Muthurangu, V., Hauptmann, A.&Steeden, J. A. (2021). Machine learning in magnetic resonance imaging: image reconstruction. *Physica Medica*, 83, 79-87. [https://doi.org/-](https://doi.org/-10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.020) [10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.020](https://doi.org/-10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.02.020)
- Morley, J., Machado, C. C. V., Burr, C., Cowls, J., Joshi, I., Taddeo, M.& Floridi, L. (2020). The Ethics of AI in Health Care: A Mapping Review. *Social Science & Medicine.* 260: 113172. Doi: [10.1016-](https://10.0.3.248/j.socscimed.2020.113172) [/j.socscimed.2020.113172.](https://10.0.3.248/j.socscimed.2020.113172)
- Nemirovsky-Rotman, S. & Bercovich, E. (2024). Explicit Physics Informed Deep Learning for Computer-Aided Diagnostic Tasks in Medical Imaging. *Machine Learning & Knowledge Extraction.* 6, 385–401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/make6010019>
- Nguyen, D., Long, T., Jia, X., Lu, W., Gu, X., Iqbal, Z. & Jiang, S. (2019). A feasibility

study for predicting optimal radiation therapy dose distributions of prostate cancer patients from patient anatomy using deep learning. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1076. Doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-](https://10.0.4.14/s41598-018-37186-x) [37186-x](https://10.0.4.14/s41598-018-37186-x)

- Nousiainen, K., Mäkelä, T., Piilonen, A.&Peltonen, J. I. (2021). Automating chest radiograph imaging quality control. *Physica Medica*, 83, 138-145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.03.01](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.03.014) [4](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.03.014)
- Obermeyer, Z.& Emanuel, E. J. (2016). Predicting the future—big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 375(13), 1216-1219. [https://doi.org/10.1056-](https://doi.org/10.1056-/NEJMp1606181) [/NEJMp1606181](https://doi.org/10.1056-/NEJMp1606181)
- Papadimitroulas, P., Brocki, L., Christopher Chung, N., Marchadour, W., Vermet, F., Gaubert, L., Eleftheriadis, V., Plachouris, D., Dimitris, V., Kagadis, G.C.& Hatt, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence: deep learning in oncological radiomics and challenges of interpretability and data harmonization. *Physica Medica*, 83, 108- 121. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-2021.03.009) [2021.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-2021.03.009)
- Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Ball, R. L., Zhu, K., Yang, B., Mehta, H., Duan, T., Ding, D., Bagul, A., Langlotz, C., Patel, B. N., Yeom, K. W., Shpanskaya, K., Blankenberg, F. G., Seekins, J., Amrhein, T.J., Mong, D.A., Halabi, S.S., Zucker, E.J., Ng, A.Y.& Lungren, M.P.(2018). Deep learning for chest radiograph diagnosis: A retrospective comparison of the CheXNeXt algorithm to practicing radiologists. *PLoS Medicine*, 15(11),



e1002686. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/-journal.pmed.1002686) [journal.pmed.1002686](https://doi.org/10.1371/-journal.pmed.1002686)

- Reddy, S., Allan, S., Coghlan, S.& Cooper, P.(2020). A Governance Model for the Application of AI in Health Care. *JAMIA.* 27(3): 491-497. DOI: [10.1093/jamia/ocz192](https://10.0.4.69/jamia/ocz192)
- Ricciardi, R., Mettivier, G., Staffa, M., Sarno, A., Acampora, G., Minelli, S., Santoro, A., Antignani, E., Orientale, A., Pilotti, I.A.M., Santangelo, V., D'Andria, P. & Russo, P.(2021). A deep learning classifier for digital breast tomosynthesis. *Physica Medica*, 83, 184-193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.03.021>
- Ritz, B., Brat, H., Zille, P., Guillin, R., Pouchy, C., Adam, C., Ardon, R.& d'Assignies, G.(2021). Meniscal lesion detection and characterization in adult knee MRI: a deep learning model approach with external validation. *Physica Medica*, 83, 64-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-2021.02.010) [2021.02.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-2021.02.010)
- Shickel, B., Tighe, P. J., Bihorac, A.& Rashidi, P.(2018). Deep EHR: A Survey of Recent Advances in Deep Learning Techniques for Electronic Health Record (EHR) Analysis. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics.*22(5): 1589-1604. Doi: [https://10.1109/JBHI.2017.2767063.](https://10.0.4.85/JBHI.2017.2767063)
- Tomal, A. & Massera, R. T. (2021). Breast glandularity and mean glandular dose assessment using a deep learning framework: Virtual patients study. *Physica Medica*, 83, 264-277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.03.007>
- Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial

intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 44- 56. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7) [0300-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7)

- Zanca, F., Avanzo, M., Colgan, N., Crijns, W., Guidi, G., Hernandez-Giron, I., Kagadis, G.C., Diaz, O., Zaidi, H., Russo, P., Toma-Dasu, I.& Kortesniemi, M. (2021). Focus issue: Artificial intelligence in medical physics. *Physica Medica: European Journal of Medical Physics,* 83, 287-291. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-2021.05.008) [2021.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.-2021.05.008)
- Zegers, C. M. L., Posch, J., Traverso, A., Eekers, D., Postma, A. A., Backes, W., Dekker, A.& Van Elmpt, W. (2021). Current applications of deep-learning in neurooncological MRI. *Physica Medica*, 83, 161-173. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp-.2021.03.003) [.2021.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp-.2021.03.003)
- Zhang, Q., Hu, Y., Zhou, C., Zhao, Y., Zhang, N., Zhou, Y., Yang, Y., Zheng, H., Fan, W., Liang, D. & Hu, Z. (2024). Reducing pediatric total-body PET/CT imaging scan time with multimodal artificial intelligence technology. *EJNMMI Physics,* 11(1). [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/-s40658-023-00605-z) [s40658-023-00605-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/-s40658-023-00605-z)
- Zhou D, Nakamura M, Mukumoto N, Yoshimura, M. & Mizowaki, T. (2022). Development of a deep learning-based patient-specific target contour prediction model for markerless tumor positioning. *Medica Physica*, 49(3):1382–1390. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.15456>
- Zhou, S. K., Greenspan, H., Davatzikos, C., Duncan, J. S., Van Ginneken, B., Madabhushi, A., Prince, J. L., Rueckert, D.& Summers, R. M. (2021). A Review



of Deep Learning in Medical Imaging: Imaging Traits, Technology Trends, Case Studies with Progress Highlights, and Future Promises. *Proceedings of the IEEE.* 109(5): 820-838. DOI: [10.1109/JPROC-.2021.3054390](https://10.0.4.85/JPROC.2021.30543990)

Xing, L., Giger, ML. & Min, JK. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Medicine. Academic Press.

